

REMARKS

This amendment is being submitted under Rule 312 in response to the NOTICE OF ALLOWANCE AND FEE(S) DUE dated August 24,2007.

Claims 97-141 remain pending in this application. Claims 97, 98, 100-103, 105, 107, 114-122, 126, 128-131, 133 and 141 have been amended by the present amendment.

This Rule 312 Amendment is being submitted simply to edit and clarify some of the changes the Examiner made by Examiner's Amendment. As will be apparent, there were a great many claims having a common change made to change the language "software program" to read instead --processor--. The Examiner's Amendment missed a few of those, and accordingly Applicant is submitting the following amendment which reflects all the changes made by the Examiner along with others that the Examiner had overlooked.

Claim 119 has also been amended to include a period at the end of the claim.

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

The following is submitted to make of record the Examiner-initiated interview of July 3, 2007. On that date Attorney for Applicant, Wayne Beavers, responded to a phone call from Examiner Vanel Frenel and Examiner Ryan Zender as indicated.

A. Brief description of the nature of any exhibits shown or any demonstration conducted.

NONE

B. Identification of claims discussed.

Claim 97

C. Identification of specific prior art discussed.

NONE

D. Identification of principal proposed amendments.

The Examiners proposed that references in the claims to "software program" should be changed to refer to "processor" in claim 97 and in all dependent claims using that language. Applicant's Attorney agreed to that change, which the Examiners indicated they would make by Examiner's Amendment. This was intended to simply be a clarifying change.

E. General thrust of principal arguments.

See Item D above.

F. General indication of any other pertinent matters discussed.

NONE

G. General results or outcome of interview.

See Item D above.

CONCLUSION

In summary, it is believed that with these changes the change from "software program" to --processor-- which had been agreed upon is complete and accurate, and accordingly entry of this amendment under Rule 312 is respectfully requested.

Applicant respectfully asserts that the foregoing amendments are not being submitted for

purpose of delay and do not involve new matter. If, in the opinion of the Examiner, a telephonic conference would expedite entry of the foregoing amendments, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned attorney at (256) 704-3900 ext. 102.

Respectfully submitted,

**THOMAS, KAYDEN,
HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, L.L.P.**

**/Larry W. Brantley/
Larry W. Brantley
Registration No. 46,052**

Suite 1500
600 Galleria Parkway N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30339
(256) 704-3900 ext. 102